

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/560,993	LEMAISTRE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert B. Davis	1791	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Robert B. Davis.

(3) _____.

 (2) Paul F. Neils.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 January 2008
Time: 12:50
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

9 and 10.


Prior art documents discussed:

None.
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called Mr. Neils to suggest changing the dependency of claims 9 and 10 from claim 1 to claim 3 to provide antecedent basis for "the guide member". Mr. Neils agreed to the changes.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)